Specialist Knowledge. Practical Solutions.

The path of least resistance – the need for a national environment and planning framework

Prepared by – David Carberry, David Lenel, Malinda Facey Date – 30 April 2019



The Issue

- There is no national environment and planning framework.
- Which State has the "path of least resistance"?
- Not a reflection of a lack of commitment to good environmental and planning outcomes.
- Reviewed a hypothetical wind farm in Queensland, NSW and Tasmania.



The wind farm



- Size: 50 turbines and 11 sub stations;
- Power output: 250 Megawatt (MW);
- Site: Largely cleared grazing land in one Local Government Area; and
- Stakeholders and Community: Most will be very supportive, some NIMBYs (Not In My Back Yard) and regulators are not overly concerned.

Queensland Approval Process

- Local Government is assessor and approver.
- State Code 23: Wind farm development
- Code Assessable as:
 - all wind turbines are at least 1,500m from a sensitive land use
 - 1 or more wind turbines for the wind farm are less than 1,500m from a sensitive land use on a non-host lot and the owner of the non-host lot has agreed by deed.
- Stakeholder engagement strongly recommended but not required.





NSW Approval Process

- State Significant Development assessed by the State Government as:
 - \circ CIV greater than \$30M; and
 - o Output greater than 30MW.
- Wind Energy Guideline.
- Detailed approvals pathway needs to be assessed on a case by case basis.
- If over 25 objections are received <u>or</u> Local Government object it will go through to the Independent Planning Commission.
- NSW has a current focus on community and stakeholder consultation at the commencement of the project.
- Project will require an Environmental Protection Licence and possibly other approvals.

1



۹

Relative level of effort in terms of cost and time High Moderate Low

pitt&sherry

Page 7

Tasmanian Approval Process



- Planning aspects assessed by Local Government.
 Referred to the State Environmental Protection
 Agency who would assess environmental aspects.
- Detailed EIA required.
- Stakeholder engagement is voluntary up to the point of advertising.
- Following all statutory advertising periods, the Local Government would issue the final Permit with the EPA conditions effectively sitting 'behind' it.



The National Education Curriculum

- The Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (1999) - focusses on the protection of matters of national environmental significance.
- National Education Curriculum framework to provide consistency across Australia.
- Historically, there had been numerous attempts at crossjurisdictional cooperation.
- Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority (ACARA) was established as a Federal statutory authority in 2008 with the aim of developing and refining the Australian Curriculum, national assessment and reporting on schooling in Australia.



The Curriculum Development Process

acara AUSTRALIAN CURRCULIUM.

A lot of work goes into a great education...

ACARA improves the learning of all young Australians through world-class school curriculum, assessment and reporting.

Step 1: Blueprint

We work with leading educators to develop a "shape" for the cutriculum.

What do we look at?

Educational goals for young Australians
 Kay research
 Learning needs in the 21st century

 Leading national and international curriculars

Number of respondents:

7,339 5555 11 **1 1 1 1 1 1**

Step 3: Trialling

We trial the curriculum to make sure it vectors in classrooms. 2,168 teachers trialed the curriculum in primary and secondary schools across Australia.



Step 5: Teaching The Australian curriculum is publish www.australian.curriculum adults



States and territories work with their schools to implement the curriculum. In 2014 all states and territories have begun to implement P-10 funitation Curriculum



How do we make a national curricition for over 10,000 schools?

Charge La, **WYTKING** We work for elacidal LB months with experts and educative to don't a currecularity. Public comunitation is open for about 10 weeks. During the entiring phase, we moneted the follower marriage of comunitation responses.

12,301.

Both individuals and groups respond. One submission from a state or tempory may represen the sizes of hundreds of leadness. We analyse textilized and revise the curriculum.





Step 6: Monite () From 2014 we will report annually on feedback about the effectiveness of the curriculum.

We make decisions about change carefully, balanced against the need for curriculum stabili

nder the new national curriculum

young people across Australia will access a world-class curriculars that equips fivers to become successful learners, confident and creative individuals and active, informed citizens. pitt&sherry

- 1. Curriculum shaping;
- 2. Curriculum writing;
- 3. Preparation for implementation; and
- 4. Curriculum monitoring, evaluation

and review.

Lessons Learned

- The "missing step" the development of an overarching curriculum development framework to underpin learning area and subject content;
- Too rushed;
- Timelines should have been applied to curriculum development for all subjects;
- Significant compromise in the development of the curriculum in order to get all jurisdictions and other stakeholders to agree however the educational basis for these compromises is unclear.
- Stronger governance framework was required that was independent from education authorities;
- Stakeholder engagement was planned and generally implemented well; and
- It was felt that depth had been compromised by breadth.

Conclusions

- Development applications are lodged with Local Governments in Queensland and Tasmania with possible referral to State Government in Queensland and required referral in Tasmania. Applications are lodged directly to State Government in NSW;
- Development applications are approved by the Local Government in Queensland, by Local and State Government in Tasmania and by State Government in NSW;
- The commencement of the assessment phase through to approval can take as little as 7 months in Queensland and up to 18 months in Tasmania and NSW;
- The impact assessment process is significantly more detailed in NSW, somewhat detailed in Tasmania and less detailed in Queensland; and
- Stakeholder engagement requirements vary widely across the three states.

Conclusions

- Significant differences in terms of costs to proponents, level of stakeholder input and potentially the environmental impact of the operational project.
- From an economic perspective, investment at State/Territory level could be impacted as a result of the lack of consistency in the assessment and approval process.
- Both proponents and their consultants face difficulties in judging the level of detail • required in undertaking environmental assessments in terms of identifying risk, constraints and opportunities.
- Proponents also face difficulties in understanding investment cost and Return on Investment.

A pragmatic national environment and planning framework would address these and other issues